

Regulation

about the organization of refereeing of Competition "Elbrus ring" of Army international games

I. General provisions

1. Competition "Elbrus ring" Army international games – international competitions in field training of Alpine units (hereinafter – the Competition).

This document was developed on the basis of the requirements of the "Regulations on the conduct of the Army international games" and "Regulations on the Competition "Elbrus ring". In order to organize an objective judging during the Competition, it establishes its structure and determines the order of relations among judges, their rights, duties and other issues related to the judicial activity in the Competition.

1.1The official language of the Competition is Russian, English – auxiliary. On the territory of the co-organizer country the main language is national.

1.2. Judging is carried out by the judicial Commission in accordance with the Regulations on the competition.

1.3. Principles of refereeing:

impartiality;;

publicity;

democratic character;

a common approach to the evaluation of the results;

collegiality;

objectivity;

justice tolerance;

transparency (openness).

1.4. For an objective assessment of actions (results) of participants of the Competition the judicial Commission of Competition is organized before the competition from the representatives of the participating States.

1.4.1. The composition of the jury consists of judges by the number of States participating in the Competition, one from each state, as a rule, are appointed from among the officials responsible for combat training in the national armed forces. In case of an even number of States participating in the Competition, one additional judge shall be appointed to the composition of the judicial Commission of the Competition from the receiving party.

1.4.2. To ensure the work of the judicial Commission of the Competition, a Secretariat consisting of the head of the Secretariat (Secretary), two technical staff, translators into Russian, English and other languages as necessary shall be appointed from the host party. In addition, field arbitrators are appointed (judges on special tasks) to ensure the work of the judicial Commission of the Competition. The composition of the Secretariat and the number (of judges on special tasks) of field arbitrators shall be appointed (determined) by the host party. The registry has no right to interfere with the work of the judicial Commission.

1.4.3. The jury is headed by the Chief judge of the Competition. Other judicial commissions are not created. The organizers of the Competition cannot influence the decision of the judicial Commission and do not participate in its work.

1.4.4 The chief judge of the Competition is appointed by the leadership of the defense Department of the host country.

1.5. Tasks of the judicial Commission:

1.5.1 Ensuring the planned course of the competition in accordance with the approved program.

1.5.2. Creation of objectively equal conditions ensuring fair conduct of the competitive process by participants of competitions, and also observance of ethical standards and moral requirements imposed to them.

1.5.3 Compliance with the conditions of The competition program and the procedure for determining individual (team) results.

1.5.4. Unconditional compliance with the competition rules and safety requirements established by the competition rules.

1.5.5. Ensuring objectivity in determining the winners.

1.5.6.The main tasks of the jury: determination of the winning team in the overall standings on the basis of the results in accordance with the Regulations on the Competition.

consideration of protests (appeals) on the order and rules of the competitions or the results of their participants.

1.5.7. Determination of winners on special tasks of the Competition and the Competition as a whole is carried out according to the Regulations on the Competition and the results of the competition, approved by the Chief judge of the Competition after an open vote by a majority vote. Summing up is carried out for each special task.

If possible, a video recording of the full cycle of the Competition is made, and these videos are shown at any time at the request of the participating teams in order to clarify the actual circumstances.

Teams-participants of the Competition can submit their protests (applications) to the judicial Commission of the Competition in case of disagreement on the determination of the results of refereeing. The result of the Competition can not be notified before the decision of the appeal.

1.6. The judicial Commission has the right to demand from the organizers of the Competition the creation of objective and equal conditions in the competition for all team members. The decision of the judicial Commission is obligatory for execution by the organizer responsible for carrying out Competition.

II. Organization of refereeing

2.1.1. Two days before the competition, an organizational meeting of the judicial Commission of the Competition is held, during which the presence of judges from each state-participant of the Competition, their competence is checked.

Under the chairmanship of the chief judge of the Competition, the main judicial Commission holds organizational meetings, during which it establishes:

daily rules of work of the main judicial Commission;

the General procedure for determining the rating of national teams and the winner of the Competition, consideration of disputes arising during the Competition

and the decision on them;

issues of interaction with the organizing Committee for the preparation and conduct of the Competition, representatives of the media;

control system of competition events;

considers the program of the Competition.

collects reports on the readiness of the participants, AMSE and training and material base to the competition. All received information is made out by the Protocol;

conducts an organizational meeting with representatives of the media, which brings the program of the Competition, as well as other necessary issues.

2.1.2. The work of the judicial Commission begins with the determination of the quorum (at least 70% of judges) and the adoption of the agenda. A member of the Commission may make an oral (written) comment or proposal on a specific issue on the agenda. A member of the judicial Commission who has proposed the inclusion of specific issues in the agenda should be given the opportunity to substantiate his or her position. Decisions on inclusion of the received proposals in the agenda of the Commission and on the order of its work shall be made by open vote on each proposal by a simple majority of votes of the members of the judicial Commission participating in the voting.

Decision-making at equality of votes of members of the judicial Commission is made on a priority of the deciding vote of the Chief judge of Competition, or on the final decision of the Chief judge of Competition at its exception of vote of members of the judicial Commission.

2.1.3. In case of impossibility of performance by the judge of the duties (illness etc.) from structure of the judicial Commission, the head of delegation (the head of team) can submit offers on its replacement (from the country). Replacement of the judge is carried out no more than once during the competition.

The head of the delegation (team leader) submits an application for participation of the team in the Competition which indicates the composition of the team.

2.1.4. После рассмотрения заявок на участие команды в состязаниях оформляется протокол допуска (приложение № 1) к Конкурсу, который подписывается членами судейской комиссии и утверждается Главным судьей Конкурса.

2.1.5. The team leader has the right to appeal to the judicial Commission of the Competition on controversial issues. In case of unsatisfactory decision the applicant can apply with the relevant application the judging Committee of the Games.

2.1.6 All results remain preliminary until the control sheets of the field arbitrators are checked. The results of the Competition are made out by the Protocol, signed by the judicial Commission and approved by the Chief justice (Annex 2). The judge who refuses to sign the Protocol is obliged to indicate the reasons for refusal at the end of the Protocol.

2.1.7. In case of disagreement in the decision of questions on Competition, the final decision is made by vote of the judicial Commission of Games, the decision of the judicial Commission of Games is not subject to discussion and appeal.

2.1.8Expert groups (experts) from the host country may be involved in the consideration of contentious issues related to the use of the wwtf. If necessary, testing

is allowed to determine the true value of the indicator.

2.1.9 Lists of officials in the composition of the judicial Commission of the Competition shall be submitted by the participating States before the second planning conference.

2.1.10. Seminars are organized with officials appointed to the judicial Commission of the Competition, as a rule, during the second and third planning conference, as well as during the reconnaissance of the competition venues on the territory of the organizers and co-organizers of competitions, including at least three days before the Competition.

III. Порядок подачи протестов предложений (заявлений)

3.1. The protest (Appendix No. 3) concerning results of competitions of Competition (decisions) of the judicial Commission moves by the head of team of Competition in writing in Russian and English (in the territory of the countries-co-organizers – in national language) no later than 19.00 (places.).

3.1.1. The protest is written in the name of the Chief judge of the Competition and submitted to the Secretary of the Competition.

3.1.2. In protest, in any form specifies the contents of the disputed issue, the time and place of the contested results of the competitions, actions (decisions) with the application of photo - and video fixing of the disputed results of competitions, actions (decisions) for consideration by the jury.

3.2 When deciding on a protest, the judicial Commission is obliged to listen to the explanations of the person who filed the protest and the person against whom the protest was filed. In case of absence of the interested parties the decision can be made in absentia.

3.2.1. Decisions on protests are made by open vote by a majority of votes of members of the judicial Commission of the Competition present at the meeting.

3.2.2. If the number of votes is the same, the advantage is the half in which the Chief referee of the Competition voted.

3.2.3. On the decision made by the judicial Commission of Competition which doesn't suit the applicant, the protest in the similar order can be submitted in the judging Committee Games.

The protest to the judicial Commission of Games is submitted through the representative of the country in the judicial Commission of Games. Until the decision of the judicial Commission of Games is received, the decision of the judicial Commission of the Competition is considered not competent. The decision of the judicial Commission of Games is brought to the judicial commissions of Competition in writing with use of technical means of communication, within 24 hours from the moment of receipt of a protest.

3.3For questions concerning the organization of the Competition, an oral or written statement may be made to the representative of the organizer of the Competition.

3.3.1The application is made by the team leader orally during the Competition or immediately after the end of the competition stage.

3.3.2If an oral statement cannot be resolved on the spot and requires further review, the team leader must present his / her request in a reasoned written protest.

3.3.3 Protests that affect the determination of the results for the day or stage of the Competition, the judicial Commission shall consider within one day from the date of the protest and not later than the signing of the Protocol for the day (stage) of the Competition.

3.4. The decision of the judicial Commission is considered accepted if there is a quorum and not less than 70% of members of the Commission participate in work, it has to be issued by the Protocol (Appendix No. 4) and it is reported to the applicant.

3.4.1 Referees have the right to voice only the collegial decision of the judicial Commission, issued by the Protocol. Once a decision has been taken, the Chief referee (referees) cannot Express their views on contentious issues

3.4.2 The decision is final and binding for all participants of the Competition, except for the case specified in paragraph 3.2.3..

IV Final results protocols

4.1. On the eve of the closing ceremony of the Competition, the final meeting of the judicial Commission of the Competition is held together with representatives of the teams, members of the organizing Committee, which summarizes the results of the competition. Summing up includes: approval of the final minutes of the Competition; assessment of the quality of the work of the jury, representatives of teams, staff and other persons responsible for the preparation and conduct of the Competition (positive sides and shortcomings are noted); assessment of the preparedness and organization of teams, their behavior in the Competition (if necessary)..

4.2. After the end of the Competition before the final meeting Of the chief judge of the Competition together with the Secretary of the report, it reflects the following sections: General data (name of the Competition, dates and venue); information about the participants (name of the participating teams and their number, age etc.); technical results of the competition; protests and decisions on them; discipline of members (violations of military discipline, regulations of the competition legislation of the host country); the infrastructure conditions and quality of care; compliance with security requirements; evaluation of the work of the judges of the Competition, team representatives; a General conclusion indicating the deficiencies in the organization and the Competition and proposals for the implementation of measures aimed at improving the conditions in preparation for the next Competition. Final protocols of the results (in Russian and English (in the territory of the co-organizing countries – in the national language) are prepared and signed, which are immediately announced (published).

V. Duties of officials

5.1. The chief referee of the Competition

5.1.1. He interacts with the Chief referee of the Games and heads the work of the entire judicial Commission of the Competition. The behavior of the Chief judge

of the Competition should be decisive, bold, convincing actions, decisions are clear and understandable to participants, coaches and spectators.

He is responsible for:

ensuring compliance with safety requirements during the Competition;
 readiness of objects of educational and material base (the route of carrying out Competition), arms, military equipment and ammunition, means of objective control, the special equipment to competitions;

objective judging and determination of the results of each team during the individual stages and the Competition as a whole;

timely execution of protocols, approval of results and their submission to the Secretariat of the Games as of 20.00 (local time);

timely consideration of protests of team representatives on the revealed violations and their submission to the General open vote (judicial) Commission.

He must:

on the eve of the competition to hold an organizational meeting to discuss the program of the Competition with the specification of individual exercises (stages);

to create objective and equal conditions for all participants of teams of competitions;

to wear a sleeve judicial sign (bandage), with the emblem of Competition placed on it (Appendix No. 5);

before the start of the Competition:

together with the members of the (judicial) Commission, with the involvement of relevant experts to check the status of objects of educational and material base (route of the Competition), means of objective control, special equipment and their readiness for the competition, the readiness of judges, as well as the actual condition of weapons, military equipment and ammunition, the presence of acts of their technical condition;

conduct a lesson with all participants of the Competition on safety requirements;

to conduct a lesson on familiarization with the route (route) and obstacles of the competition (stages);

to conduct the inspection readiness of the participants of Contest in which to check personal documents the compliance of the participants of the Competition the names on the lists submitted by the heads of teams in the applications, their knowledge of the Competition Regulations and equipment;

to do the draw;

develop a calendar of the Competition;

in the course of the Contest;

organize and manage the work of the members of the (judicial) Commission;

strictly be guided by the Regulations on the Competition and require strict compliance with it by all members of the (judicial) Commission and participants of the Competition;

to control the actions of referees carrying out the timing of the stages of the participants of the Competition, the teams as a whole;

to accept oral and written protests about violations submitted by the member

(judicial) Commission and supervisors, in person and in a timely manner to conduct their review and submit for open voting (judges) Commission. In case of failure to make a decision on the filed protest (dispute) in a timely manner (before the announcement of the final results of the competition) to submit a protest (dispute) to the judicial Commission of the Games;

timely inform the team leaders of the decisions taken for each protest (controversial issue);

review and approve the protocols of the daily results of the competition stages and the Competition as a whole;

to consider questions about the admission of personnel of teams to competitions and its replacement (if necessary) according to the application submitted in writing by the head of team before competitions;

stop the competition stage in case of violation of safety requirements, as well as in case of other force majeure circumstances that threaten the life and health of Contestants, spectators and guests of the Competition;

not to allow to the place of work (judicial) of the Commission of strangers and not to allow "pressure" on members (judicial) of the Commission from heads of teams (higher officials) in the interests of adoption of any decision;

during the stage to communicate with the controlling and providing personnel;

personally report to the Chief referee of Games as of 20.00 (on local time) about results of the carried-out stage and Competition in General with submission of the written report (Protocol) and Appendix of a photo (video) of materials.

The chief referee of the Competition has the right, if necessary, to make proposals to change (clarify) certain conditions of the Provision for consideration of the judicial Commission. A decision shall be deemed to have been taken if it is supported by a majority of the votes of the judges. In case of equality of votes, the final decision is made by the Chief referee of the Competition. The changes are made by the Secretary of the judicial Commission Protocol, which is signed by members of the judicial Commission, approved by the Chief referee of the Competition and brought to its participants.

5.2. The Judge Of The Contest..

The referee of the Competition is appointed from each participating country. A person with professional training in the field of competition is appointed to the position of judge.

He submits to the Chief referee of the Competition and is responsible for the objectivity of judging and the correctness of the calculation of the results of the Competition.

He must:

study the Regulations on the Competition;

monitor the correctness and objectivity of the Competition and the correct performance of referees, field arbitrators and the administration of Competitions of their duties, as well as their calculations of the results of the Competition;

to carry out refereeing in a qualified and impartial manner, excluding errors

that may lead to distortion of the results of the Competition, objectively and in a timely manner to resolve issues arising during the Competition;

participate in the meetings of the jury under the leadership of the Chief referee of the Competition;

to consider the results, protests and collectively (Commission) to make decisions on them or to put to a General vote of the judicial Commission of the Competition;

sign the statements and protocols of the results of the stages (races) of the Competition.

5.2.1. The referee has the right:

in accordance with their qualifications to evaluate the results, wear a judicial mark (bandage);

announce the need to stop, temporarily interrupt the Competition;

participate in the discussion of draft decisions of the competition management;

request and receive from the heads of the participating teams the necessary information, documents;

participate in the discussion of issues related to the duties performed;

demand the organizers of the Competition to assist in the performance of official duties;

in case of violation of safety requirements by the participant (team), apply to the jury of the Competition for punishment of the participants (team) for violation of the rules (to consider this violation on the jury of the Competition)

5.2.2. The referee is forbidden to enter into arguments with the contestants.

Undisciplined contestants who Express dissatisfaction with the decisions of judges by words or gestures should be punished in accordance with the regulations on the Competition and the imposition of penalty points.

5.3. The Secretariat Of The Competition.

Secretariat – the structure designated for performance of clerical work of organizational and Executive character, for maintaining protocols, preparation of resolutions, etc.

5.3.1. Secretary Of The Competition.

He must:

keep records of the meetings of the judicial Commission;

to make the order of speech of participants of the judicial Commission;

execute orders and decisions of the Chief judge of the Competition;

accept applications, register them and pass them to the Chief judge of the Competition;

keep all judicial documentation of the Competition;

lead the work of the Secretariat;

with the permission of the Chief judge to transmit information to the media;

prepare reporting documentation for the Competition.

He is responsible for:

coordinated work of all officials of the Secretariat of the judicial Commission of the Competition;

continuous communication with the Secretariat of the Games;

timely development of judicial protocols and timely their submission for signature to the judges from the States of the Competition and approval by the Chief judge of the Competition.

5.3.2. The technical staff reports to the Secretary of the Competition and is responsible for the accurate and timely communication of all decisions of the Chief referee of the Competition.

He must:

lead the collection and processing of information;

be able to work on the means of PC, to provide timely data of the established sample;

be able to work on the means of PC, to provide timely data of the established sample.

5.3.3. The interpreter reports to the Secretary of the Competition and is responsible for accurate and timely translation of all decisions of the Chief judge of the Competition..

He must:

know the rules of Competition and current Position;

be fluent in spoken and technical English (Russian) (and others if necessary)

be near the Chief judge of the Competition;

timely and accurately communicate to the heads of the delegation (team leaders) of foreign countries through translators all decisions of the judicial Commission of the Competition.

5.3.4The referee on a special task performs the most responsible functions during the direct judging of the actions of the participants. His job is to observe closely participants of the Competition and evaluation of their actions, as well as prevention of violations of the rules of the competition and compliance with safety requirements.

He must:

know your rights and obligations set by the Chief referee of the Competition;

know the rules of the competition;

know the conditions for the implementation of standards, the procedure for the participants of the Competition, in accordance with the regulations on the Competition;

during performance of standards (overcoming of obstacles) by participants of Competition is in the most convenient and safe place, where it is possible to objectively assess their actions;

correctly qualify various violations, accurately and without delay to bring the results to the judges;

monitor the implementation of safety requirements by participants (teams) during the execution (passage) of exercises (stages)

personally lead sheets, the duration time of the passage of the stages involved in the Competition teams in General.

The referee on a special task should not influence the progress of the task (standard), which may facilitate or complicate the actions of the participants.

VI. Incentives and penalties

6.1. Incentives

For active and perfect performance of judicial duties the following types of encouragement of judges are established:

awarding of diplomas, certificates, as well as memorable gifts established by the organizers of the Competition;

representation in accordance with the established procedure to departmental awards and incentives, including material incentives.

6.2. Penalties

For non-compliance with the rules of the Competition or unsportsmanlike conduct may be punished by any participant. The degree of punishment (removal of points, increase in penalty time, etc.) is established by the Provision about the competition.

Unsportsmanlike conduct:

disregard of the judge's instructions;

disrespectful treatment to the judge;

judge touch; profanity and abusive gestures;

obstacle evaluation action team;

delay of the Competition (stage).

The team, whose representative interferes with the work of the judicial team, demonstrates dissatisfaction with the refereeing or shows unethical behavior associated with violation of the current legislation of the state in the territory of which the competition is held, a remark, warning or disqualification may be declared.

Team for a competition may receive one comment and two warnings. After the third warning, the team will be disqualified.

In particularly serious cases of violation of the rules of the Competition, the jury may disqualify the violator (team) (for gross violation of safety requirements resulting in injury). Only the Chief referee has the right to disqualify or remove the team from the Competition after an open vote of the judicial Commission with registration of the Protocol.

6.3. For non-performance or improper performance of judicial duties or other violations to judges the following types of penalties can be applied:

Caution;

suspension from judging the competition;

disqualification with the establishment of its validity.

6.4. The decision to apply sanctions against judges judges are taken by the Commission collectively by open voting by majority of votes with the execution of the Protocol (Annex No. 6).

Affirm
The chief referee of the Competition
« _____ »
(name of the contest)

(rank) (Name and Surname)

« » _____ 201_ year.

Protocol № ____ *

admission to the Competition « _____ »

« ____ » _____ 201_ г. the Commission of the referees of the Competition « _____ »
(next – Contest) в составе:

The chief referee of the Competition _____;
(Rank, Name and Surname)

competition Secretary _____;
(Rank, Name and Surname)

referees from States:

from _____;
(State) (Rank) (Name and Surname)

from _____;
(State) (Rank) (Name and Surname)

from _____;
(State) (Rank) (Name and Surname)

studied applications for participation in the competition « _____ » and determined the composition of the participating teams:

1. From _____
(State)

№	Position	Military rank	Name and Surname	Series, passport number and (officer ID, military ID)	Note
1.					
2.					
3.					

2. From _____
(State)

№	Position	Military rank	Name and Surname	Series, passport number and (officer ID, military ID)	Note
1.					
2.					
3.					

3. From _____
(State)

№	Position	Military rank	Name and Surname	Series, passport number and (officer ID, military ID)	Note
1.					
2.					

.....
There are no claims and comments on the composition of the teams participating in the Competition
« _____ » There are no claims and comments on the composition of the teams participating
in the Competition among the participants and representatives of the teams..

This Protocol is made in two copies:

Ex. No. 1 – Secretariat of the Chief referee of the Games

Ex. №2 – Secretariat of the Chief referee of the Competition

Referees from States:

(State)

(Rank, Name and Surname)

(State)

(Rank, Name and Surname)

(State)

(Rank, Name and Surname)

Secretary Of The Competition

(Rank, Name and Surname)

Annex No. 2
CLAIM
The chief referee of the
Competition

«_____»
(Name of the contest)

(Rank) (Name and Surname)

« » _____ 201_ year.

PROTOCOL №__*
results of the special task «_____»
Competition's «_____»

«_____» _____ 201__ y. the Commission of the referees of the Competition
«_____»

(further – Competition) in structure:

The chief referee of the Competition _____;
(Rank, Name and Surname)

competition Secretary _____;
(Rank, Name and Surname)

referees from States:

from _____ _____ _____;
(state) (Rank) (Name and Surname)

from _____ _____ _____;
(state) (Rank) (Name and Surname)

from _____ _____ _____;
(state) (Rank) (Name and Surname)

studied the results of the special task «_____» Competition and determined:

1. Rating of teams participating in the Competition:

№	Team		Result	Place
1.	State	Rank, Name and Surname		
2.	State			
3.	State			

2. Twelve teams participating in the special task «_____» of Contest:

(state)

(state)

There are no claims and comments on the results of the stage «_____» among the contestants and team representatives.

This Protocol is made in two copies:

Ex. No. 1 – Secretariat of the Chief referee of the Games

Ex. №2 – Secretariat of the Chief referee of the Competition

Referees from States:

(state)

(Rank, Name and Surname)

(state)

(Rank, Name and Surname)

(state)

(Rank, Name and Surname)

Secretary Of The Competition

(Rank, Name and Surname)

Protest
to the organization, conduct and determination of the results of the
competition _____

(Name of contest)

OT _____
 (Referee) (Name and Surname) (Team)

(Stage)

(Date)

(Time)

Summary of claim. What articles of the rules or Regulations of the Contest are considered to be violated. The proposed solution and its rationale.	Results of the review	
	Who reviewed	The decision, the Foundation. Signature of the decision maker

With the referees decision introduced,
 «AGREE», «DISAGREE» _____
 (Delete as appropriate) (Date, time, signature of the protester)

THE DECISION OF THE JURY

Chief referee:
 Members of the jury:

(Date and time)

With the decision of the Judicial Commission of the Competition acquainted

(signature, surname, date)

The content of the application may be made and other necessary data in accordance with the Regulation

Affirm
Chief Referee of contest
«_____»
(the name of the Contest)

(military rank) (Name and Surname)
« » _____ 201_ г.

PROTOCOL _____
results of the discussion of the protest of the team leader _____ at the competition
«_____»
(state)

«_____» _____ 201_ г. jury:

Referees from states:

from _____ ;
(state) (rank) (Name and Surname)
from _____ ;
(state) (rank) (Name and Surname)
from _____ ;
(state) (rank) (Name and Surname)

the discussion of disagreement of the team leader was held _____ at the competition
«_____» with the result of check-in № _____ of a team _____ on the former
(«_____»)and the second («_____»)stage of competition.

During the discussion, the speakers:

Referee from _____, with an offer to meet the
requirements (state) (rank) (Name and Surname)
team leader _____ at the competition «_____» subtracting from the total
arrival time calculating № _____: on the first stage – _____ sec. on second stage – _____ min
_____sec.

referee from _____, with a proposal to leave
time (state) (rank) (Name and Surname)
calculation № _____ commands without changes.
(state)

Both proposals were put to an open vote by the chief referee of the Games.

Voting result:

on the first proposal from the judge _____ voted for – _____, against – _____, abstained
– _____;
on the second proposal from the judge _____ voted for – _____, against – _____,
abstained – _____.

According to the results of voting by a majority vote, it was decided to leave the time of
arrival _____ team calculation _____ at the first and second stages of the Competition
«_____» no changes..

Referees from states:

(state)

(military rank, Surname, Name)

(state)

(military rank, Surname, Name)

Secretary Of The Competition

(military rank, Surname, Name)

Blindfolds for the judges

An armband with Velcro fastening is worn on the left forearm.

The armband shows the affiliation of the state, the emblem of the Games (Competition) and the position in the composition of the judicial Commission (chief judge, judge, arbitrator).



Affirm
Chief Referee of contest

«_____»
(the name of the Contest)

(military rank) (Name and Surname)

« » _____ 201_ г.

Protocol № _____

results of discussion of application of penalty to the judge from _____ at the competition
(state)

«_____»

«_____» _____ 201__ г. the Commission of the referees of the Competition
«_____» (next – Contest)

in composition:

The chief referee of the Competition _____;
(military rank, Surname, Name)

competition Secretary _____;
(military rank, Surname, Name)

referees from the states:

from _____;
(state) (military rank) (Surname, Name)

from _____;
(state) (military rank) (Surname, Name)

a discussion of the application of penalties to the judge from _____ on the Contest
(state)

«_____»

During the discussion, the speakers made:

Referee from _____, with the proposal to
punish (state) (military rank) (Surname, Name)

Referee from _____ for _____ in form _____
(state)

Referee from _____, with the proposal to
punish (state) (military rank) (Surname, Name)

судью from _____ for _____ in form of _____.
(state)

Both proposals were put to an open vote by the chief referee of the Competition.

Voting result:

on the first proposal from the judge _____ voted for – ____, against – ____, abstained – ____;

on the second proposal from the judge _____ voted for – ____, against – ____, abstained – ____.

By results of vote by a majority vote the decision to punish the referee is made
from _____ for _____ in form of _____.

(государство)

Referees from states:

(state)

(state)

Secretary Of The Competition

(military rank, Surname, Name)

(military rank, Surname, Name)

(military rank, Surname, Name)