

REGULATION

on refereeing organization of the Contest of the Aerospace Forces flight crews flight skills “Aviadarts”

General provisions

International Army Games are comprehensive international competitions between units of the Armed Forces of the states-participants in the International Army Games (hereinafter – Games) on different military specialties, international contest on flight skills of flight crews “Aviadarts” (hereinafter – the Contest) is held during these Games.

Flight skill is a set of skills of flight crews on conducting warfare in different situations, level of its professional development.

The Contest includes competitions of crews on types (elements of types) of flight training and several items of professional and service development.

The goals of the Contest are:

to reinforce international military and technical military cooperation of the Contest participants;

to raise the prestige of military service;

to raise the level of training of the Contest participants;

to demonstrate combat capabilities (military performance) of modern models of equipment, of weapons and military equipment.

The refereeing is one of the principal and essential elements of the Contest.

The present document is worked out in terms of requirements “Regulation on the order of organization and execution of the Contest”, and for the purpose of organization of objective refereeing during the Contest the document sets its structure, decides the interaction order among the judges, their rights, duties and other issues related to the judges activity during the execution of the Contest.

Official languages of the Contest are Russian and English.

The refereeing is executed by the judge commission according to the present Regulation and the Regulation of the Contest.

The judge commission is formed by representatives of the states-participants to objectively evaluate the results of the Contest participants. Field referees are additionally appointed to provide the work of the judge commission of the Contest.

The secretariat that includes the chief of the secretariat, support staff and interpreters is appointed to provide the work of the judge commission of the Contest.

The judge commission of the Contest is headed by the Chief Judge of the Contest. Organizers of the Contest can not affect the decision of the judge commission and do not participate in its work.

The tasks of the judge commission are:

- to create objectively equal conditions that provide straight going on the warpath of the competitions' participants, as well as to comply with the ethical standards and moral requirements that are demanded;

- to execute the program of the Contest execution and the order of individual (team) results determination;

- to unconditionally satisfy safety requirements set by the order of the competitions;

- to provide objective election of winners;

- to elect winners of the Contest stages and the Contest as a whole in terms of the results approved by the Chief Judge of the Contest;

- to examine protests (appeals) on the issues of the order and of the rules of the Contest execution or the participants' results.

The judge commission has a right to demand the Contest organizers to create objective and equal conditions in competitions for all team participants. The decision of the judge commission is binding for all organizers that are responsible for the Contest execution.

I. Refereeing organization

The work of the judge commission begins with the determination of the quorum (not less than 70% of the judges) and adoption of the agenda not later than 5 days prior the opening of the Contest. A member of the judge commission may advance oral (written) observation or proposal on a concrete issue that is incorporating into the agenda. An opportunity to justify the position has to be given to the member of the judge commission who has advanced a proposal to incorporate several issues into the agenda. The decision on incorporation of the received proposal into the agenda of the commission and on the order of its work are made by open voting on each proposal with simple majority of votes of the members of the judge commission that participate on the voting.

The team leader may forward a proposal to change a judge of the judge commission if he can not exercise his functions. The judge change can be executed not more than once during the execution of the Contest.

Once requests for team participation in competitions are examined (Annex №1), the admittance protocol to the Contest is settled, it is signed by the members of the judge commission and is approved by the Chief Judge of the Contest.

The judge commission has the right to demand team leaders to present necessary documents to settle the admittance of the teams to participate in the Contest.

The team leader has the right to address to the judge commission of the Contest with the controversial questions. In case of poor decision, the applicant may address the judge commission of the Games with the relevant claim.

The judge commission of the Contest examines upon the appeals of team leaders and during daily sessions, controversial questions on organization and order of the Contest, also it keeps count intermediate results, and formalizes the rating of participants of the Contest in terms of these results.

The results of the Contest are settled in the final protocol, are signed by the judge commission and are approved by the Chief Judge (Annex №2).

In case of disagreements in the issues resolving, the final decision is made by the voting of the judge commission. The decision of the judge commission of the Contest comes without appeal and non est disputandum.

When examining controversial questions related to the use of weapons and military equipment, groups of military experts (an expert) of the model manufacturers' may be drawn. When necessary, test examination execution is admitted with the goal to define true value of the figure.

II. Duties of officials

The Chief Judge of the Contest is appointed from the judge commission, as a rule on the part of the host country, he interacts with the Chief Judge of Games and heads the work of all judge commission of the Contest.

He is responsible for:

selection of the judge commission members according to the necessary qualification that complies with the requirements of present Regulation and features of the Contest elements;

objective refereeing and defining of results of each team during the execution of separate stages and of the entire Contest;

timely formalization of protocols, approval of the results and presentation of the results to the secretariat of the Contest and of Games;

timely examination of the protests presented by representatives of teams concerning elicited violations, and laying them on the open public voting of the judge commission;

provision of fulfillment of safety requirements during execution of the Contest.

He must:

before the opening of the Contest:

hold an organizational meeting and discuss latest changes in the rules of the Contest;

check the objects state of training and material base, instruments of objective control, special equipment and its readiness for the competitions;

in collaboration with members of the judge commission and relative specialists check actual state of weapons and military equipment, munitions, availability of report on its mechanical condition;

inspect readiness of the Contest participants so that to check whether the Contest participants' personal papers correspond to nominal lists presented by team leaders in their requests;

execute drawing procedures;

work out and present to the Contest organizers requirements to equipment and workplace equipment of the judge commission members;

during the execution of the Contest:

organize and manage the work of the judge commission members;

follow exactly the Regulation on the Contest and demand all judge commission members and participants of the Contest to observe it steadfast;

receive written protests on revealed violations given by judge commission members and by team leaders, examine protests timely and personally and lay protests for open voting executed by judge commission;

receive written protests on revealed violations given by team leaders on the day of execution of a stage, examine protests timely and inform team leaders on decisions made on each protest (controversial question), and to

inform team leaders on decisions made on each protest (controversial question);

examine and approve competition stages results protocols and Contest protocols;

on written applications of team leaders, examine questions on team personnel authorization to competitions or on rotation within teams (if necessary);

keep unauthorized persons away from workplace of the judge commission and not admit pressure on judge commission members on behalf of team leaders (higher authorities) for the sake of decision making;

report to the Chief Judge of Games results of the stages and of the Contest that were held, together with the written report (protocol) and photo (video) features.

The Chief Judge of the Contest has the right to make suggestions on modification (specification) of several stipulations of the Regulation, and henceforth judge commission shall approve it. The decision is supposed to be adopted when having majority of votes of judges. When having equality of votes, the Chief Judge of the Contest shall make final decision. All modifications that were made are to be formalize by the secretary of judge commission in the protocol that is to be written by judge commission members, is to be approved by the Chief Judge of the Contest and participants in the Contest are informed on it.

The Judge of the Contest is appointed from each country participating in the Contest. A person that has professional qualifications in the area of the Contest execution is appointed to the judge post.

He is subordinate to the Chief Judge of the Contest and is responsible for objective refereeing and for correct calculation of results of the Contest.

He must:

study the Regulation on the Contest;

monitor that the Contest is executed in correct and objective way, that field referees and administration fulfill theirs duty in a right way, and also calculate the Contest results;

referee ably and impartially without any errors that may entail perversion of the Contest results, resolve questions objectively and timely that arise during the Contest;

take part in sessions of judge commission of the Contest headed by the Chief Judge of the Contest;

examine results, protests and make collegial (commission) decisions on it, or lay it on the public voting of the judge commission of the Contest;
sign summaries and protocols of results of the Contest.

The Judge has a right:

to announce the necessity of stopping or temporarily suspending of the Contest;

to take part in discussion of the Contest authorities' decisions drafts;

to demand and to receive necessary information and documents from participating teams' leaders;

to take part in discussion of the issues related to exercised functions;

to demand the Contest organizers to facilitate fulfillment of functions;

to solicit for punishment of participants of the Contest for rules violation.

The judge commission must be provided with and must wear armband for judges (armband) with the emblem of the Contest (Annex №4).

Field referee is appointed by the decision of the Chief Judge of the Contest, is subordinate to judge commission and fulfills the most responsible duties during direct refereeing of the Contest participants. His job includes attentive observation of the Contest participants and evaluation of their activities as well as non-admission of competitions rules violation.

He must:

know his rights and duties set by the Chief Judge of the Contest;

know conditions of qualification standards fulfillment, the Contest participants' operation procedures according to the Regulation of the Contest during evaluation of the Contest stages be in the most comfortable place to evaluate objectively participants' actions;

qualify in the right way different violations, inform judges on the results accurately and without delay.

Field referee must not influence the task (qualification standards) fulfillment that may facilitate or impede the Contest participants' actions.

The secretariat of the Contest is a structure that is appointed to fulfill organizational and execution clerical duties and to keep protocols, to prepare resolutions etc.

The secretary of the Contest must:

keep protocols of judge commission sessions;

make order of performances of judge commission members;

draw up orders and decisions of the Chief Judge of the Contest;

receive declarations (protests), register them and timely pass them to the Chief Judge of the Contest;

keep all judge documentations of the Contest;

manage the secretariat work;

pass information to the media representatives on the permission of the Chief Judge;

draw up report documentation on the Contest.

He is responsible for:

coordinated work of all authorities of the Secretariat of judge commission of the Contest;

permanent communication with the Secretariat of the Contest;

timely drawing up of judge protocols and timely passing of the protocols to judges from states-participants of the Contest to sign them and to the Chief Judge of the Contest to confirm them.

Support staff is subordinate to the secretary of the Contest and is responsible for accurate and timely disseminating all decisions of the Chief Judge of the Contest.

He must:

execute on schedule received order and instructions;

know operate PC, timely submit due form data.

Interpreter is subordinate to the secretary of the Contest and is responsible for accurate and timely translation of all decisions of the Chief Judge of the Contest.

He must:

know the Regulation of the Contest and present Regulation;

know colloquial and technical English (Russian) language (and other if necessary);

timely and accurately inform foreign team leaders all decisions of judge commission of the Contest.

III. Final results' protocols

The day before the ceremony of solemn closing of the Contest, final session of judge commission together with team leaders, Contest administration takes place where they sum up competitions results. Summing up includes:

affirmance of the results based on the Contest final protocol;

work quality assessment of judge commission, team representatives, maintenance personnel and other persons that are responsible for preparation and execution of the Contest (positive and negative moments are to be highlighted);

assessment of readiness and organizational spirit of teams, those behavior during the Contest (if necessary).

After the end of the Contest and till the final consultation, the Chief Judge of the Contest together with the secretary makes the report. In includes following parts:

overall data (title of the Contest, term, location and conditions of its execution);

data on the Contest participants (names of participating teams and their numerical strength, age etc.);

competitions results;

protests and decisions on them;

participants discipline (violation of military discipline, of competitions routine, of host country legislation);

status of material base and quality of medical attendance;

safety requirements fulfillment;

work assessment of the Contest judges and teams representatives;

final conclusion with pointing out of defaults in organization and in execution of the Contest, and proposals on events making that are focused on conditions improvement when preparing for the next Contest.

Final protocol on results shall be prepared and signed; the results shall be immediately announced (published).

IV. Awards and penalties

For active and flawless judge duties performance following kinds of judge's awards shall be set:

awarding of diploma, certificates, as well as memory gifts set up by organizers of Games (the Contest);

recommendation to departmental rewards and awards including financial rewards in the prescribed manner.

Each participant of the Contest may be punished by the Chief Judge of the Contest in case of non-implementation of the Contest rules or unsporting

behavior. Degree of punishment (excluding from participation in competition, points withdrawal) is set according to the rules of the Contest.

A reprimand, warning or disqualification may be declared to a team whose representative interferes into the work of judge commission, demonstrates dissatisfaction with the refereeing or shows improper conduct.

During the competition a team may get one reprimand and two warnings. A team may be withdrawn from the competition when getting third warning.

Only the Chief Judge of the Contest may disqualify or withdraw a team (violation) from the Contest with keeping relevant protocol.

In case of nonfulfillment or defective fulfillment of judge's duties or other violations, by the decision of the Chief Judge of the Contest judges may get following kinds of punishment:

warning;

withdrawal from refereeing of a competition.

VI. Procedure for filing proposals (statements)

A protest (Annex №3) regarding the Contest competitions results, judge commission decisions is submitted by the Contest team leader in writing on the day of the competition before the relevant results of the Contest are approved.

A protest is written in the name of the Chief Judge of the Contest and is passed to the secretary of the Contest.

In a protest there is stated in any form content of the disputed issue, time and place of the disputed results of competitions, actions (decisions) with attached photo and video materials that fix controversial results of competitions, actions (decisions) that demand examination by judge commission of the Contest.

When making a decision, judge commission has to hear explanations of a person that gave a protest and a person stated in a protest. A decision may be made in absentia in case of non-appearance of interested individual.

Decisions on protests are made by an open vote with a simple majority of the members of judge commission of the Contest present at the meeting.

If the number of votes is equal, the half that includes the vote of the Chief Judge of the Contest has the advantage.

If an applicant is not satisfied with a decision made by judge commission of the Contest, a protest may be registered within similar procedure to judge commission of Games.

Written or verbal statement on the issues related to the Contest organization, may be made to a representative of the Contest organizers.

A statement is made verbally by a team leader actually during the Contest not later than 1 hour after the case that provoked dissatisfaction.

If verbal statement can't be resolved at place and demands additional investigation, a team leader has to set down his demand in a valid written protest.

Protests that influence defining of results for a day or for a stage of the Contest are to be examined by judge commission during twenty-four hours from the moment of protest submission and not later than the protocol signing for a stage of the Contest.

Judge commission decision is supposed to be made if there is a quorum and not less than 70% of commission members take part in the work. It has to be recorded in a protocol and announced to the applicant. It is final and mandatory for all Contest participants.

Annex № 1

APPLICATION¹
for participation in the competition “ _____ ”

(state)

№	Post	Military grade	Last name, first name, patronymic name	number of officer ID (military ID, passport)	Note
	Contest team leader				
Trainer group					
Judge commission					
Type of the aircraft (main crew)					
Type of the aircraft (alternative crew)					
Technical engineer personnel					

Contest team leader _____

¹ Other necessary data may be inserted into the content of the application according to the Regulation of the Contest

Annex № 2
CONFIRM
Chief Judge of the Contest
“ _____ ”
_____ (name of the Contest)

(military grade) (first name, last name)

“ _____ ” _____ 20__

PROTOCOL № _____¹

(state)

“ _____ ” _____ 20__

_____ (military grade) (signature) (last name, initials)

of the results of the stage “ _____ ”
of the Contest “ _____ ”

“ _____ ” _____ 201__ the judge commission of the Contest “ _____ ”
(hereinafter – the Contest) consisting of:

The Chief Judge of the Contest _____;
(military grade) (last name, first name, patronymic name)

The secretary of the Contest _____;
(military grade) (last name, first name, patronymic name)

Judges from the states:

from _____ _____ _____;
(state) (military grade) (last name, first name, patronymic name)

from _____ _____ _____;
(state) (military grade) (last name, first name, patronymic name)

from _____ _____ _____;
(state) (military grade) (last name, first name, patronymic name)

has examined the results of the stage “ _____ ” of the Contest and has defined:

1. The rating of the crews that participate in the Contest:

№	Team, crew		Result	Place
1	State (crew №1)	Military grade, Last name, First name, Patronymic name		

¹ Other necessary data may be inserted into the content of the protocol according to the Regulation of the Contest

2	State (crew №2)	Military grade, Last name, First name, Patronymic name		
3	State (crew №3)	Military grade, Last name, First name, Patronymic name		

2. Team participants of the stage “ _____ ” of the contest:

(state)

(state)

Participants in the Contest and representatives of the teams do not have any claims or notes in accordance with the results of the stage “ _____ ”.

Present protocol is settled in a single copy:

Copy № 1 – secretariat of the Chief Judge of the Contest

Judges from the states:

(state)

(military grade, last name, first name, patronymic name)

(state)

(military grade, last name, first name, patronymic name)

(state)

(military grade, last name, first name, patronymic name)

Secretary of the Contest

(military grade, last name, first name, patronymic name)

Annex № 3

PROTEST
on organization, execution and defining results of the competition

(name of the Contest)

from _____

(judge, team leader) (last name, first name, patronymic name) (team)

(stage)

(date)

(time)

Claim in brief	Results of examination	
Articles of rules or of the Regulation on the Contest supposed to be violated	Who has examined	Decision made, base
Suggested decision and argumentation		Signature of the person who has made a decision

I acquaint with the decision of the judges,

“AGREE”, “NOT AGREE”

(Delete where not applicable)

(date, time, signature of the person who registered a protest)

DECISION OF THE JUDGE COMMISSION

The Chief Judge: _____

Members of the judge commission: _____

(date, time)

I acquaint with the decision of the judges

(signature, last name, date)

* Other necessary data may be inserted into the content of the application according to the Regulation of the Contest

Annex № 4

Armbands for judges

Judges wear armbands with Velcro dots on the left forearm.

Armband has a sign of affiliation to the state, emblem of the Contest and post within the judge commission (the Chief Judge, judge, arbiter).

Armband gives the right to pass to the site of the Contest.

